Appendix 2 – Risk Management

Vulnerability/Risk	Trigger	Consequences	Current Rating
Financial	- Cost of management plan is significantly	Unable to meet requirements of LEMP / management	Likelihood: 2
	higher than expected	plan	Impact: 2
	 Investment of endowment or 	Woodland deteriorates	
	commuted sum does not generate	Land cannot be acquired	Rating: 4
	sufficient income		
	 Unable to secure commuted sum from 		
	Croudace		
Accessibility	- Encampments on site	Reduction in community use	Likelihood:3
	- Anti-social behaviour	Woodland deteriorates	Impact:3
	 Failure to maintain walkways 	Accident claims	
	 Unofficial access routes created 	Encroachment	Rating: 9
	 Poor management of roadway by 		
	managing agent		
Quality	 Management plan is insufficient 	Quality of woodland deteriorates	Likelihood: 3
	- Anti-social behaviour	Attracts littering / fly tipping	Impact:3
	- Criminal behaviour	Disease or destruction of woodland	
	- Environmental	Negative reputational impact	Rating: 9

No.	Current Rating	Target Rating	Risk			
1	4	4	Financial			
Control in place	Adequacy of	Required action/control		Responsible Officer	Success Factors	Date for Review
Significant research and evaluation of costs has been completed.	Good	Further negotiation with landowner		Andrew Williams / Mark Green	Land secured with commuted sum Management Plan in place	Weekly throughout acquisition and transfer of land

identified. Offer			
from Croudace			
received.			
Agreement that land			
will not be acquired			
without commuted			
sum. Financial			
investment			
discussed with			
Director of Finance			
and Business			
Transformation.			

No.	Current Rating	Target Rating	Risk			
2	9	6	Accessibility			
Control in place	Adequacy of controls	Required action/control		Responsible Officer	Success Factors	Date for Review
Land is already accessible with footpaths in place. Procedures in place to deal with illegal encampments and anti-social behaviour.	Fair	Active management of the Appropriate signage Ongoing work to maintain footpaths and walkways	n	Andrew Williams	Only official footpaths are used Community use of the woodland Good community engagement	Monthly from acquisition

No.	Current Rating	Target Rating	Risk			
3	9	6	Quality			
Control in place	Adequacy of controls	Required action/control		Responsible Officer	Success Factors	Date for Review
Officers have good	Fair	Recruitment underway for	or	Andrew Williams	Community use of the	Monthly

knowledge of land.	technical officer with arboriculture	woodland
Expertise in team	expertise.	Low levels of ASB
about management	Active management of site	Productive woodland
of land. Policies	Engagement with local community	Low levels of disease
and procedures in	to report issues	
place for dealing		
with ASB.		